Since July 2010, MTA’s Plans have been disciplined, consistent and totally transparent

• This July Plan reflects the three key elements of prior Plans
  – Significant annually recurring cost reductions: $1.3 billion by 2017
    • No budget-driven service reductions since 2010 cuts
  – Three years of “net zero” union wage growth
    • Already achieved four and a half years of real zero non-union wage growth
  – Biennial fare and toll increases as planned

• Adds and/or restores service when sustainable

• Preserves and enhances funding for the Capital Program

• *Increases emphasis on addressing long-term costs such as pension, retiree health care, paratransit, and debt service previously considered “uncontrollable”*
“Uncontrollable” expenses are increasing faster than inflation and “controllable” spending.

2013 to 2017 Mid-Year Forecast

Compounded Annual Growth Rate (CAGR)

- **CPI**: 1.6%
- **“Controllable” Expenses**:
  - PS/OTPS \(^1\): 1.6%
- **“Uncontrollable” Expenses**:
  - Pensions: 1.1%
  - Employee & Retiree Healthcare: 9.1%
  - Energy: 3.9%
  - Paratransit: 9.3%
  - Debt Service: 7.3%

\(^1\) Personnel Service / Other Than Personnel Service.
Even assuming annually recurring cost savings, three “net zeros” and biennial fare and toll increases, the February Plan projected $325 million of deficits through 2016 ($ millions)
What has changed since the February Plan?

**Favorable re-estimates and other changes**
- Higher real estate-related subsidies (Urban Tax)
- Higher toll revenue
- Lower pension costs
- Lower health & welfare costs
- Lower energy costs
- Additional paratransit savings*
- Reduced debt service from refinancings*; and
- Reduced 2012 spending* that increased the carry-over cash balance

**Unfavorable re-estimates and other changes**
- Lower PMT and PBT receipts
- Lower fare revenue
- Increased insurance costs (premiums impacted by Sandy)
- Metro-North derailment costs
- Operating cost “build up” associated with expansion projects

* Bottom line is net-favorable

* Reflects management efforts
Determining the amount of Service Investments to include in the July Plan

- New and restored service adds ongoing expense to the budget; funding must be sustainable
- Amount should be evaluated in the context of the overall budget, not specific revenue or expense lines
- Amount committed to additional service should be large enough to provide a meaningful improvement in our customers’ use of the System, but no so large that its sustainability is at risk.
Highlights of the July Plan

• Funds $18 million of additional service investments and customer enhancements
  – In addition to $11.5 million of service adjustments, primarily driven by guidelines
• $11 million in other customer service initiatives in 2014
• Invests $76 million in important new operational and maintenance needs
• Includes $80 million annually of PAYGO capital beginning in 2015 in support of the 2015-2019 Capital Program
  – Funded primarily with debt service savings from the 2013 refunding and re-estimates of interest rates and cash flows
• Uses $80 million of non-recurring real estate receipts to reduce LIRR Additional Pension Plan’s $1.2 billion unfunded liability, saving $6 million annually
• Increases OPEB contributions to continue to address $17.8 billion unfunded liability
• Proposes use of unexpended year-end General Reserve balances to make one-time payments toward long-term obligations (unfunded pension or OPEB liabilities, PAYGO or debt retirement) to reduce annual expenses, minimizing pressure on fares and tolls.
• Consistent with New York State budget projections
With these re-estimates and new initiatives, the July Plan projects out-year deficits of $240 million, lower than the February Plan

($ millions)

* The February Plan only included projections through 2016; 2017 represents an extrapolation of the February Plan’s 2016 projection.
The key elements of prior Plans remain essential in addressing the July Plan deficits
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- Savings initiatives close 58% of the cumulative deficit
The key elements of prior Plans remain essential in addressing the July Plan deficits
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- Savings initiatives close 58% of the cumulative deficit
- Fares and tolls close 24%
The key elements of prior Plans remain essential in addressing the July Plan deficits

($ millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surplus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining Deficit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fares and tolls, March 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Fare/Toll, March 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015 Fare/Toll, March 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savings Initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Savings initiatives close 58% of the cumulative deficit
- Fares and tolls close 24%, and
- “Net-zeros” from represented employees close only 15%
MTA is continuing to follow its Plan, but risks remain

- Execution of Financial Plan
  - “Net-zero” labor settlements
  - Annually recurring cost savings
  - Fare and toll increases in 2015 and 2017

  - Loss or reduction of PMT or other revenues without equivalent replacement revenues

- Economic uncertainty
  - National economy remains weak
  - Local economy recovery is uneven

- Federal support below expected levels
  - On-going capital support in light of sequestration pressures
  - Repair and resiliency funding

- Longer-term vulnerabilities
  - Increasing operating costs associated with expansion projects
  - Funding for 2015-2019 Capital Program
  - Casualty risks to the system; ability to fund mitigation investments
  - Retiree healthcare costs
  - Pensions
  - Building and maintaining critical financial reserves