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44 OPRHP, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Kathleen A. Howe, November 6, 2002.
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46 OPRHP, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Kathleen A. Howe, June 12, 2002.
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47 OPRHP, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Robert D. Kuhn, June 6, 2002.
49 OPRHP, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Kathleen A. Howe, April 16, 2002.
52 OPRHP, Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau, Robert D. Kuhn, November 27, 2001. *
January 29, 2004

Ms. Claudia Cooney
AKRF, Inc.
117 East 29th Street
New York, New York 10016

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Re: FTA/MTA
Second Avenue Subway/Midtown East Site Alt
Manhattan, New York County
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the three Intensive Documentary Studies listed below for the Second Avenue Subway Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

- Methodist Episcopal Cemetery Intensive Documentary Study (December, 2003)
- St. Stephens Church and Cemetery Intensive Documentary Study (December, 2003)
- Shearith Israel Cemetery Intensive Documentary Study (December, 2003)

The SHPO accepts and approves the reports listed above, and concurs with the report recommendations. We note that in all three instances the documentary studies confirm the potential for human remains. This potential will need to be addressed as project planning continues. We are pleased to learn that in all three instances the appropriate congregation has been contacted and discussions regarding the ultimate treatment and disposition of any human remains that may be encountered during project construction have already begun.

When responding please be sure to refer to the SHPO project review (PR) number noted above. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Assistant Director
Field Services Bureau

cc: Nancy Danzig, FTA
Hollie Wells, MTA
January 27, 2004

Ms. Claudia Cooney  
AKRF, Inc.  
117 East 29th Street  
New York, New York 10016

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Re: FTA/MTA  
Second Avenue Subway/Manhattan East Site Alt  
Manhattan, New York County  
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the Supplemental Analysis of Boring Logs Report for the Second Avenue Subway Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

Based upon this review, the SHPO accepts and approves the report. The SHPO concurs with the recommendation to amend the Phase IA Archaeological Assessment Report for this project to reflect the changes indicated by the evaluation of the boring log study.

When responding please be sure to refer to the SHPO project review (PR) number noted above. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Assistant Director  
Field Services Bureau

RLP:bsa

cc: Nancy Danzig, FTA  
Amanda Sutphin, NYCLPC  
Hollie Wells, MTA
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M 12/30/03

PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT

SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[✓] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[ ] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[✓] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[✓] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

The LPC is in receipt of the revised intensive documentary studies all prepared by Historical Perspectives and dated December 2003 for the Shearith Israel Cemetery (St James Place), the Methodist Episcopal Cemetery, and the St Stephens Church Cemetery. The reports are accepted, although the LPC continues to note that the reports do not note that the LPC has consulting party status in their discussions about the consultation process. Please submit two additional bound copies of each for archival distribution.

cc: Nancy Danzig, FTA
    Bob Kuhn, SHPO

[Signature]
01/14/04
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M 11/26/03
PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT

SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[ ] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[ ] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[X] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

The LPC is in receipt of the Draft Programmatic Agreement dated November 24, 2003.

For archaeological resources, the LPC finds that the new text is appropriate.

For architectural resources, the LPC requests that for Exhibit E, # 10 the language be changed to state: Should any Historic Property(s) sustain damage during Project construction, such damage will be repaired and the structure will be restored to its condition prior to being damaged. If the damage is to an Historic Property that is an LPC individual landmark, interior landmark, scenic landmark or in an LPC historic district, the LPC shall review and approve such repairs prior to the work beginning and the damaged property shall be repaired in a manner and with materials appropriate to the historic property and in compliance with LPC standards and requirements.

In addition, the LPC is in receipt of the "Second Avenue Phase 1A Archaeological Assessment, Supplemental Analysis of Boring Lots," prepared by Historical Perspectives and dated December 9, 2003. The LPC concurs with the consultant's findings. Please submit an additional bound copy of this report for archival distribution.
New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Historic Preservation Field Services Bureau
Peebles Island, PO Box 189, Waterford, New York 12188-0189
518-237-8643

October 6, 2003

Andrea Burk
Architectural Historian
AKRF, Inc.
117 East 29th Street
New York, New York 10016

Dear Ms. Burk:

Re: FHA/MTA
Second Avenue Subway/Manhattan East Site
Manhattan, New York County
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the East 36th to East 29th Streets Intensive Documentary Study for the Second Avenue Subway Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

Based upon this review, the SHPO accepts and approves the report. The SHPO concurs with the archeological recommendations of the report for the areas identified as:

- Second Avenue between East 36th and East 35th Streets
- 33rd Street east of Second Avenue
- Second Avenue between East 31st and East 29th Streets

As a point of clarification, the SHPO interprets all recommendations for archeological monitoring to mean that archeological testing will be conducted in coordination with construction activities for logistical reasons, and that an archeological testing plan for this work will be prepared in advance and submitted for SHPO review.

If this interpretation is incorrect, please contact this office to consult further with regards to this issue. I can be contacted at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[signature]

Assistant Director

RDK:bsa
Ms. Claudia Cooney  
AKRF, Inc.  
117 East 29th Street  
5th Floor  
New York, NY 10016-8022

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Re: **FHA/MTA**  
Second Avenue Subway/Manhattan East Site  
Alternative  
Manhattan, New York County  
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the Topic Intensive Documentary Studies for the Shearith Israel Cemetery, Methodist Episcopal Cemetery, St. Stephens Church and Cemetery, and the St. Philips Episcopal Church Cemetery for the Second Avenue Subway Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

Based upon this review, the SHPO accepts and approves the Topic Intensive Documentary Studies. The SHPO considers the recommendations provided in the studies appropriate for addressing potential project impacts to possible burials or human remains associated with the cemeteries. We look forward to working closely with MTA New York City Transit and the Federal Transit Administration to ensure that issues involving human remains are treated sensitively and appropriately as part of the Second Avenue Subway Project.

The SHPO also acknowledges that since the Shallow Chrystie Option has been dropped from the project there is no longer any potential for the project to impact the St. Philips Episcopal Church and Cemetery site. We appreciate submission of the Topic Intensive Documentary Study for this property and will permanently retain it in our archeology library for future reference.

When responding please be sure to refer to the SHPO project review (PR) number noted above. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Assistant Director  
Field Services Bureau

RDK:bsa

cc: Nancy Danzig, FTA
THE CITY OF NEW YORK LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
1 Centre St, 9N, New York, NY 10007 (212) 669-7700

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M 08/18/03
PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT
SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[ ] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[ ] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[ ] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS
The LPC is in receipt of the Second Avenue Subway Intensive Documentary Study for E 36th to E 29th Streets, which was prepared by Historical Perspectives and is dated July 2003.

The LPC concurs with the findings of the study. The Commission requests that if avoidance is not possible, that the archaeological field testing and monitoring be developed in coordination with the LPC as well as the NY SHPO as per the draft Programmatic Agreement.

CC: Bob+Kuhn, NY SHPO

Amanda Spoff 09/05/03
SIGNATURE DATE
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M  07/16/03

PROJECT NUMBER  DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT

SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[X] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[X] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[X] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

The LPC is in receipt of the following intensive documentary studies prepared by Historical Perspectives and all dated June 2003: St Stephens Church and Cemetery, Chrystie Street, Shearith Israel Cemetery, St James Place, St Philip's Episcopal Church, Chrystie Street, and Methodist Episcopal Cemetery, Second Avenue. The LPC notes that the Commission has consulting party status for this project and should be consulted about each stage of archaeological work, as well as the SHPO, and that the Guidelines for Archaeological Work in New York City 2002 should also be used in developing appropriate protocols. Furthermore we note, that while the reports state that descendent communities will have "input" the relevant statues require they be consulted about appropriate treatment of any remains. This wording should be changed. Specific comments about each report are listed below.

The LPC concurs with the findings of the intensive documentary study for St. Stephens Church and Cemetery, Chrystie Street. However, the LPC notes that there are a few assumptions in the report about early 19th century burial practices that appear to be based upon today's ideal burial practices rather than the historic record. For example, pg 12 states that "if each burial plot measured five by seven....only 310 individual burial plots could have fit on the property." Notwithstanding the mathematical errors of this section, this does not take into account high rates of infant and child mortality with its concomitant larger number of smaller burials, the possibility of shroud burials which would have smaller grave pits, and the probability of stacked burials.

LPC concurs with the findings of the Shearith Israel Cemetery Intensive Documentary Study, St James Place. The Commission notes that pg 10, paragraph 3 refers to St Stephens and should be deleted.
The LPC concurs with the findings of the Methodist Episcopal Cemetery and St Philip's Episcopal Church Cemetery intensive documentary studies.

[Signature]

07/23/03
July 16, 2003

Ms. Claudia Cooney  
Senior Planner/Historian  
AKRF  
117 East 29th Street  
New York, New York 10016-8022

RE: Second Avenue Subway Project  
Eligibility Determinations  
New York County, NY  
01PR03920

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the State Historic Preservation Office concerning your project's potential effect upon historic resources. I have reviewed the documentation that you provided in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.

Based on the documentation submitted, the properties listed below appear to meet the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The Resource Evaluation for these properties is attached to this letter.

- Marion Building, 290 Lenox Avenue (a.k.a. 78-84 West 125th Street; 06101.013180)
- Eisleben Apartment Building, 291-293 Lenox Avenue (a.k.a. 100-110 West 125th St.; 06101.013181).

The remaining property at 67-69 West 125th Street (06101.013179) does not appear to meet the criteria for listing in the National Register.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3266. Please refer to the Project Review (PR) number noted above in any correspondences.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Howe  
Historic Preservation Specialist

Enc.: Resource Evaluation

cc: Nancy Danzig, FTA  
Gina Santucci, LPC  
Hollie Wells, MRA NYC Transit
RESOURCES EVALUATION

DATE: 7/16/03
PROPERTY: various (see below)
PROJECT REF: 01PR03920

STAFF: Kathy Howe
MCD: Manhattan
COUNTY: New York Co.

ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:

Based on the information currently available, the following properties meet the criteria for listing on the State and National Registers:

- **Marion Building, 290 Lenox Avenue**
  (a.k.a. 100-110 West 125th Street; 06101.013180)
  This three-story brick and terra-cotta building was designed by noted New York architect Charles B. Meyers and built in 1904. Prominently located at southeast corner of Lenox Avenue and West 125th Street, the Marion Building is representative of the Harlem building boom of the 1900s brought about by the opening of the subway. It meets Criterion C as an example of Beaux Arts style commercial architecture in Harlem. While modern alterations have been made to the building (new storefronts and windows), the building retains many of the character-defining features of the Beaux Arts style including rustication, lintels with scrolled keystones and shields, and an ornate frieze and cornice. It may also meet Criterion A for its association with the social history of Harlem. The Harlem Community Arts Center was located here beginning in 1937.

- **Eisleben Apartment Building, 291-293 Lenox Avenue**
  (a.k.a. 110-110 West 125th Street, 06101.013181)
  This four-story brick building was designed by prominent New York architect Mortimer C. Merritt and built in 1883. It was designed to house stores at the first floor with apartments on the upper floors. It meets Criterion C as an example of an early Harlem apartment building. Prominently located at the southwest corner of Lenox Avenue and West 125th Street, the building has repetitive fenestration, stone sills, lintels and beltcourses, and a frieze ornamented with squares of checkerboard brickwork. The façade is enlivened by an angled corner bay and a triangular parapet.

NOT ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:

- **67-69 West 125th Street (06101.013179)**

Please contact Kathy Howe at 518-237-8643 ext. 3266 with any questions. Be sure to use the project reference number (PR) in all future correspondence.
THE CITY OF NEW YORK LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
1 Centre St, 9N, New York, NY 10007 (212) 669-7700

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M 07/03/03
PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT
SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[ ] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[ ] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[ ] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

For Archaeology only:
The LPC is in receipt of the "Section 7.13, East 63rd Street Curve Site of the Second Avenue Subway Phase 1A Archaeological Assessment," prepared by Historical Perspectives and dated June 2003.
The LPC concurs with the findings of this Addendum.

Amanda Spratt 07/18/03
SIGNATURE DATE
July 11, 2003

Ms. Claudia Cooney
AKRF Environmental and Planning Consultants
117 East 29th Street
New York, NY 10016

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Re: FHA/MTA
Second Avenue Subway/Manhattan East Site
Manhattan, New York County
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the Addendum to the Second Avenue Subway Phase IA Archaeological Assessment Report for the Second Avenue Subway Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

Based upon this review, the SHPO accepts and approves the addendum report.

When responding please be sure to refer to the SHPO project review (PR) number noted above. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Assistant Director

RDK:bsa

cc: Nancy Danzig
    Amanda Sutphin
The New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission
Municipal Building, ICentre St, 9th Fl, New York, NY 10007
(212) 669-7823; FAX (212) 669-7818; asutphin@lpc.nyc.gov

Amanda Sutphin
Director of Archaeology

July 10, 2003

Ms. Nancy Danzig
Federal Transit Authority
Federal Transportation Division, Region II
1 Bowling Green, Rm 429
New York, NY 10004

Re: Second Avenue Subway

Dear Ms. Danzig:

I am writing to confirm that the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission would like to be considered a consulting party for the Second Avenue Project as defined by Section 106. If you have any questions, please contact me at the number above.

Sincerely,

Amanda Sutphin

cc: Robert B. Tierney, Chairman
Judy McClain, Manager Rail Service Design-Operations Planning
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M 03/18/03

PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT

SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[X] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[X] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[X] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

For Archaeology only:

The LPC is in receipt of the Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft Selection 4 (f) Evaluation, Volumes 1-3, dated March 2003 and prepared by the FTA and MTA.

The LPC concurs with the archaeological chapters. Comments pertaining to the Draft Programmatic Agreement, included within this SDEIS, will be sent under separate cover.

cc: OPRHP

04/24/03

Amanda Guthrie

SIGNATURE DATE
THE CITY OF NEW YORK LANDMARKS PRESERVATION COMMISSION
1 Centre St, 9N, New York, NY 10007  (212) 669-7700

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

FTA/106-M  03/18/03
PROJECT NUMBER DATE RECEIVED

PROJECT

SECOND AVE SUBWAY

[ ] No architectural significance
[ ] No archaeological significance
[X] Designated New York City Landmark or Within Designated Historic District
[X] Listed on National Register of Historic Places
[X] Appears to be eligible for National Register Listing and/or New York City Landmark Designation
[X] May be archaeologically significant; requesting additional materials

COMMENTS

The LPC is in receipt of the Phase 1A Archaeological Assessment prepared by Historical Perspectives, dated March 12, 2003 and the Addendum to the Phase 1A also by HPI and dated March 12, 2003.

The LPC concurs with the findings of both studies and notes that the changes that the LPC requested be made to the Phase 1A on 7/8/02 have been made.

Amanda Stetzen  04/23/03
SIGNATURE DATE

CC: OPR HP
April 16, 2003

Ms. Claudia Cooney  
AKRF Environmental and Planning Consultants  
117 East 29th Street  
New York, NY 10016

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Re: FHA/MTA  
Second Avenue Subway/Manhattan East Site  
Manhattan, New York County  
01PR03920

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). The SHPO has reviewed the revised *Second Avenue Subway Phase 1A Archaeological Assessment Report (dated March 12, 2003)* and the Addendum, *Second Avenue Subway Phase 1A Archaeological Assessment Report (dated March 12, 2003)* for the Second Avenue Subway project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, and the implementing regulations.

Based upon this review, the SHPO accepts and approves the two reports.

The draft SDEIS chapters and the draft Programmatic Agreement are still under review by our office. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at (518) 237-8643 ext. 3255.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Assistant Director  
Field Services Bureau

RDK:bsa

cc: Nancy Danzig  
Amanda Sutphin
January 9, 2003

Ms. Nancy J. Danzig
Community Planner
Federal Transit Administration
One Bowling Green
Room 429
New York, NY 10004-1415

REF: Proposed Second Avenue Subway Project
New York City, New York

Dear Ms. Danzig:

The Council recently received the additional information in support your notification and documentation regarding the adverse effects of the referenced project on properties listed on and eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Based upon the information you provided, we do not believe that our participation in consultation to resolve adverse effects is needed. However, should circumstances change and you determine that our participation is required, please notify us. Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.6(b)(iv), you will need to file the final Memorandum of Agreement and related documentation at the conclusion of the consultation process. The filing of the Agreement with the Council is required in order to complete the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Thank you for providing us with your notification of adverse effect. If you have any questions or require further assistance, please contact Laura Dean at 202-606-8505.

Sincerely,

Raymond V. Wallace
Historic Preservation Technician
Office of Federal Agency Programs
December 16, 2002

Mr. Paul Weinbaum
Section 106 Coordinator
Department of Interior
15 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Subject: Second Avenue Subway Project

Dear Mr. Weinbaum:

Pursuant to Section 106 regulations, 36 CFR Part 800 (Section 800.10) of the National Historic Preservation Act, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) as federal lead agency for the Second Avenue Subway project (the Project), is notifying you of consultation involving two National Historic Landmarks, and inviting you to participate in the consultation where there may be an adverse effect.

Background

The Metropolitan Transportation Authority/New York City Transit (MTA/NYCT) proposes to construct the Second Avenue Subway extending from 125th Street in Harlem to the Financial District in Lower Manhattan. The project will include approximately 8 miles of track, primarily along Second Avenue, with 16 stations. Presently, the MTA/NYCT is preparing a supplemental draft environmental impact statement (SDEIS) for this full-length subway. The original DEIS was issued in 1999 and included analyses of four alternatives, two of which encompassed the construction of a new subway along Second Avenue from 125th Street to 63rd Street.

The MTA/NYCT has submitted to the FTA and New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) descriptions of all properties thought to be historic and/or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places. SHPO has completed its eligibility determinations. A Stage 1A Archaeological Assessment was prepared.

Currently, SHPO is a consulting party. New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC), although participating in discussions with FTA, SHPO and NYCT, has not determined if it will become a consulting party. FTA and NYCT have held several meetings with SHPO and LPC regarding the Stage 1A Report, the draft Programmatic Agreement, and protocol for researching and testing historic and archaeological sites.

Discussion of Secretary Involvement

Two National Historic Landmarks are located within the Area of Potential Effect for the Second Avenue Subway: the Daily News Building and the Brooklyn Bridge. While at this time there are no
known substantial impacts to these structures, the FTA, SHPO and MTA/NYCT have agreed that a Programmatic Agreement will be drafted and included in the SDEIS since effects on historic and archaeological resources cannot be fully determined prior to approval of the undertaking. The Programmatic Agreement will outline the process to protect historic structures from construction impacts.

As noted above, FTA is preparing a SDEIS, and expects to commence the public comment period early 2003. I have included sections of the SDEIS that describe the project with regard to the Section 106 process. Please note that while FTA believes that the data and analyses are well organized and presented, some of the information may still be edited and revised prior to issuance of the document.

Please inform FTA if your agency will participate in consultation for the two Landmarks. Should you need additional information, please contact me at the FTA Regional Office at 212-668-2180.

Sincerely,

Nancy Danzig
Nancy J. Danzig, AICP
Community Planner

cc: Peter Cafiero, NYCT
    B. Kuhn, SHPO

Enclosures
December 10, 2002

Ms. Claudia Cooney
Senior Planner/Historian
Allee King Rosen & Fleming
117 East 29th Street
New York, New York 10016-8022

RE: Second Avenue Subway Project
Eligibility Determinations - Batch #4
New York County, NY
01PR03920

Dear Ms. Cooney:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the State Historic Preservation Office concerning your project's potential effect upon historic resources. I have reviewed the documentation that you provided in accordance with the provisions of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.

Based on the documentation submitted, the properties listed below appear to meet the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. The Resource Evaluation for these properties is attached to this letter.

- Former Italian Labor Center, 231 East 14th Street (06101.012802)
- Socony-Mobil Building, 150 East 42nd Street (06101.012800)
- 225-227 East 53rd Street (06101.012798)
- 229-235 East 53rd Street (06101.012797)
- 237 & 241 East 53rd Street (06101.012796)

The properties listed below do not appear to meet the criteria for listing on the National Register, as indicated on the attached Resource Evaluation:

- Former God's Providence House, 330 Broome Street (06101.012804)
- 223-229 East 14th Street (06101.012803)
- 226-240 East 14th Street (06101.012801)
- 202 East 42nd Street (06101.012799)
- 226 East 53rd Street (06101.012795)
- 234 East 53rd Street (06101.012794)
• 246-248 East 53rd Street (06101.012793)
• 250-252 East 53rd Street (06101.012792)
• Cirker's Hayes Storage Warehouse, 305 East 61st Street (06101.012791)
• Rectory of the Redemptorist Fathers, 323 East 61st Street (06101.012790)
• Manhattan Eye, Ear and Throat Hospital, 208-216 East 64th Street, 209-217 East 63rd Street (06101.012788)
• Former Dominican Convent of Our Lady of the Rosary, 329 East 63rd Street (06101.012789)

If you have any questions regarding this review, please call me at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3266. Please refer to the Project Review (PR) number noted above in any correspondences.

Sincerely,

Kathleen A. Howe  
Historic Preservation Specialist

Enc:   Resource Evaluation

CC:   Nancy Danzig, FTA  
      Gina Santucci, LPC  
      Hollie Wells, MTA NYC Transit
RESOURCES EVALUATION

DATE: 12/10/02

PROPERTY: various (see below)

PROJECT REF: 01PR03920

STAFF: Kathy Howe

MCD: Manhattan

COUNTY: New York Co.

********************************************************************************

ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:

Based on the information currently available, the following properties meet the criteria for listing on the State and National Registers:

- **Socony-Mobil Building, 150 East 42nd Street (06101.012800)**
  Designed by Harrison & Abramovitz, in association with John B. Peterkin, this 42-story building was completed in 1955. It is architecturally significant under Criterion C as New York City's first skyscraper sheathed in stainless steel. It was also the largest office tower built in New York City since the construction of Rockefeller Center in the 1930s.

- **former Italian Labor Center, 231 East 14th Street (06101.012802)**
  This six-story brick and stone building, erected c. 1923 as a union hall, is significant under Criterion A for its association the labor movement and Italian American history. Built by the Italian Cloakmakers Union Local No. 48 of the International Ladies Garment Workers Union, the center occupied the building from 1923 until at least 1950. The center housed a nursery, music school, and gymnasium. Labor union meetings and classes in English, American history, and the naturalization process were held here. The building's façade is notable for its bas relief panels in the spandrels.

- **225-227 East 53rd Street (06101.012798)**
- **229-235 East 53rd Street (06101.012797)**
- **237 and 241 East 53rd Street (06101.012796)**
  The row of six buildings on the north side of East 53rd Street (#225-227, 229-235, 237 & 241) make up a potential East 53rd Street Historic District. The buildings are a cohesive, intact ensemble of early twentieth century Renaissance Revival residential design in New York City. The six-story, brick tenement at #225-227 was built in 1902 to the design of Sass & Smallheiser. Architect Charles Rentz designed the three five-story, brick apartment buildings at #229-235 in 1899-1900. The two six-story, brick apartment buildings at #237 & 241 were built in 1900 to the design of George F. Pelham.
NOT ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:
- former God's Providence House, 330 Broome Street (06101.012804)
- 223-229 East 14th Street (06101.012803)
- 226-240 East 14th Street (06101.012801)
- 202 East 42nd Street (06101.012749)
- 226 East 53rd Street (06101.012795)
- 234 East 53rd Street (06101.012794)
- 246-248 East 53rd Street (06101.012793)
- 250-252-East 53rd Street (06101.012792)
- Cirker's Hayes Storage Warehouse, 305 East 61st Street (06101.012791)
- Rectory of the Redemptorist Fathers, 323 East 61st Street (06101.012790)
- Former Dominican Convent of Our Lady of the Rosary, East 63rd Street (06101.012789)
- Manhattan Eye, Ear and Throat Hospital, 208-216 East 64th Street, 209-217 East 63rd Street (06101.012788)

Please contact Kathy Howe at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3266, with any questions. Please be sure to use the project reference number (PR) in all future correspondence.
November 18, 2002

Mr. Emerson Webster, Chief  
Tonawanda Band of Seneca  
7027 Meadville Road  
Basom, New York 14013

Dear Mr. Webster:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), in cooperation with MTA New York City Transit (NYCT), propose to construct the Second Avenue Subway in Manhattan to provide much-needed transit access to East Side residents, workers, and visitors, to reduce excess crowding on the existing Lexington Avenue subway line, and to improve mobility for all New Yorkers.

FTA and MTA/NYCT are currently preparing a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS) of a full-length Second Avenue to evaluate the short- and long-term impacts to the human and natural environment, during both construction and operation, The Second Avenue Subway would be a new, two-track, approximately 8.5 mile rail line extending the length of Manhattan's East Side corridor from 125th Street to Hanover Square, serving East Harlem, the Upper East Side, Midtown, Gramercy Park/Union Square, the East Village/Lower East Side/Chinatown, and Lower Manhattan. The SDEIS is being prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The project is also subject to the Section 106 process of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966.

The effects of the project on historic and archaeological resources are being assessed in accordance with Section 106, in consultation with the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission. A total of 72 resources have been identified as listed on or determined to be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Documentary research conducted raised the possibility that various archaeological resources may be buried within the area of the project alignment. These include Native American sites along the alignment, early residential uses along the alignment, and 18th and 19th century residential and commercial uses along the alignment south of Canal Street. In addition, six former cemeteries were identified in the area between 2nd Street and James Street.
FTA and MTA/NYCT will be issuing the SDEIS for public review and comment sometime in early 2003. Please let FTA know if you would be interested in receiving information on this project. If we do not hear from you within 30 days, we will assume that you do not wish to receive information.

Sincerely,

Nancy Danzig
Nancy Danzig, AICP
Community Planner

cc: B. Kuhn, SHPO
    P. Cafiero, NYCT
November 18, 2002

Mr. Leo R. Henry, Clerk, Chief
Tuscarora Nation
2006 Mt. Hope Road
Lewistown, New York 14092

Dear Mr. Henry:

The Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA), in cooperation with MTA New York City Transit (NYCT), propose to construct the Second Avenue Subway in Manhattan to provide much-needed transit access to East Side residents, workers, and visitors, to reduce excess crowding on the existing Lexington Avenue subway line, and to improve mobility for all New Yorkers.

FTA and MTA/NYCT are currently preparing a Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SDEIS) of a full-length Second Avenue to evaluate the short- and long-term impacts to the human and natural environment, during both construction and operation. The Second Avenue Subway would be a new, two-track, approximately 8.5 mile rail line extending the length of Manhattan’s East Side corridor from 125th Street to Hanover Square, serving East Harlem, the Upper East Side, Midtown, Gramercy Park/Union Square, the East Village/Lower East Side/Chinatown, and Lower Manhattan. The SDEIS is being prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The project is also subject to the Section 106 process of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) of 1966.

The effects of the project on historic and archaeological resources are being assessed in accordance with Section 106, in consultation with the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission. A total of 72 resources have been identified as listed on or determined to be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places. Documentary research conducted raised the possibility that various archaeological resources may be buried within the area of the project alignment. These include Native American sites along the alignment, early residential uses along the alignment, and 18th and 19th century residential and commercial uses along the alignment south of Canal Street. In addition, six former cemeteries were identified in the area between 2nd Street and James Street.
FTA and MTA/NYCT will be issuing the SDEIS for public review and comment sometime in early 2003. Please let FTA know if you would be interested in receiving information on this project. If we do not hear from you within 30 days, we will assume that you do not wish to receive information.

Sincerely,

Nancy Danzig
Nancy Danzig, AICP
Community Planner

cc: B. Kuhn, SHPO
    P. Cafiero, NYCT
The properties listed below do not appear to meet criteria for listing on the National Register, as indicated on the attached Resource Evaluation:

- 34 & 36 East 1st St.
- 301 East 21st St.
- 14 East 125th St.
- 220 East 125th St.
- 151-153 Second Ave.
- 380 Second Ave.
- 718 and 720 Second Ave.
- 985 Second Ave.
- 1583-1587 Second Ave.
- 1589 Second Ave.
- 1591 Second Ave.
- 1593 Second Ave.
- 1595 Second Ave.
- 1597 Second Ave.
- 1601, 1603 and 1605 Second Ave.
- 1609 Second Ave.
- 1611-1613 Second Ave.
- The Foster, 1617 Second Ave.
- Roosevelt Island Tramway, Manhattan Station

If you have any questions regarding this review, please call me at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3266. Please refer to the Project Review (PR) number noted above in any correspondence.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Kathleen A. Howe
Historic Preservation Specialist

enc: Resource Evaluation

cc: Hollie Wells, MTA NYC Transit
RESOURCE EVALUATION

DATE: 4/4/02

PROPERTY: various (see below)

PROJECT REF: 01PR03920

STAFF: Kathy Howe

MCD: Manhattan

COUNTY: New York Co.

ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:

Based on the information currently available, the following properties meet the criteria for listing on the State and National Registers:

- **221 East 124th Street (06101.012620; #47 on map)**

Meets Criterion C as an architecturally distinguished example of late 19th-century tenement design in the Renaissance Revival style (1883. Cleverdon & Putzel).

- **4-12 East 125th Street (06101.012624; #58 on map)**

Three connected five-story flats and stores designed by D. & J. Jardine and built in 1888. Meets Criterion C as an outstanding example of Italian Renaissance inspired design by a prominent New York architecture firm. Notable for its arched openings, rock-faced masonry, terra cotta panels, and prominent cornice.

- **New York Public Library, East 125th Street Branch, 224 East 125th Street (06101.008647; #48 on map)**

Built between 1902 and 1904, the East 125th Street Branch library in Harlem is architecturally significant under Criterion C as an intact design of McKim, Mead & White. It is also historically significant under Criterion A in the areas of education, social history as an example of Carnegie funded library.

- **30-38 East 3rd Street (06101.012600; #12 on map)**

Five three-story red brick rowhouses with stone door surrounds, window sills and lintels, constructed beginning in 1835. This row meets Criterion C as a representative example of a mid-19th century New York streetscape. Of special note in the row is no. 36 which features pedimented window and doors at the first floor and an elaborate bracketed cornice.

- **1944 Madison Avenue (a.k.a. 28-30 East 125th Street; 06101.012621; #54 on map)**

Five-story commercial/apartment building erected in 1888 to the design of C. Abbott-French & Co. Meets Criterion C as an example of late 19th-century Romanesque Revival. Façade embellished by decorative terra cotta floral panels above and below windows.
• **Former Third District Magistrates Court Building, 32-34 Second Avenue** *(06101.012599; #11 on map)*
  Two-story brick Romanesque inspired courthouse and prison built in 1918. Meets Criterion C as an intact example of early 20th-century civic architecture by architect Alfred Hopkins who became an authority on prisons and design.

• **Saul Birns Building, 107-113 Second Avenue** *(06101.012602; #15 on map)*
  Five-story office building designed by Ralph H. Segal in 1928-29. Meets Criterion C as an example of early 20th-century commercial design notable for its highly ornamented terra cotta-clad façade.

• **Middle Collegiate Church, 112-114 Second Avenue** *(06101.012601; #14 on map)*
  Gothic-inspired late 19th-century stone church (1891, Samuel Burrane Reed) significant under Criterion C for architecture. Stained glass windows in church by Tiffany. Dutch Reformed Church dating back to the late 17th century also meets Criterion A under religion as the denomination’s oldest church in the New York City. East of the church is the contributing neo-Romanesque addition known today as the Church House at 50 East 7th Street.

• **1614-1616 Second Avenue** *(06101.012625)*
  Two, five-story brick tenement buildings designed by John Brandt in 1885. Distinctive brownstone-clad façades at Second Avenue. Meets Criterion C as an example of late 19th-century Neo-Grec design.

• **1817-1829 Second Avenue** *(06101.012619; #42 on map)*
  Six five-story brick tenements designed in 1888 by John C. Burne. Meets Criterion C as an example of late 19th-century Neo-Grec multiple dwelling design. Notable details include decorative terra cotta panels, brick corbelling, and bracketed cornices.

• **St. James Catholic Elementary School, 37 St. James Place** *(06101.012597; #5 on map)*
  Meets Criterion C as an example of late 19th-century High Victorian Gothic institutional design. Originally built in 1871 by Lawrence J. O’Connor as a two-story church, the building was later enlarged to four-stories and became a school.

**NOT ELIGIBLE PROPERTIES:**

• 34 & 36 East 1st Street *(06101.0122598; #9 on map)*
• 301 East 21st Street *(06101.012604; #23 on map)*
• 14 East 125th Street *(06101.012623; #54 on map)*
• 220 East 125th Street *(06101.012622; #49 on map)*
• 151-153 Second Avenue *(06101.012603; #17 on map)*
• 380 Second Avenue *(06101.012605; #24 on map)*
• 718 and 720 Second Avenue *(06101.012606; #27 on map)*
• 985 Second Avenue (a.k.a.253 East 52nd St; 06101.012607; #28 on map)*
• 1583-1587 Second Avenue *(06101.012609; #36A on map)*
• 1589 Second Avenue *(06101.012610; #36B on map)*
• 1591 Second Avenue *(06101.012611; #36C on map)*
• 1593 Second Avenue *(06101.012612; #36D on map)*
NOT ELIGIBLE: Cont’d

- 1595 Second Avenue (06101.012613; #36E on map)
- 1597 Second Avenue (06101.012614; #36F on map)
- 1601, 1603 and 1605 Second Avenue (06101.012615; #37A on map)
- 1609 Second Avenue (06101.012616; #37B on map)
- 1611-1613 Second Avenue (06101.012617; #37C on map)
- The Foster, 1617 Second Avenue (06101.012618; #37D on map)
- Roosevelt Island Tramway, Manhattan Station (06101.012608; #30 on map)

Please contact Kathy Howe at 518-237-8643 ext. 3266 with any questions. Be sure to use the project reference number (PR) in all future correspondence.