APPENDIX B
CULTURAL RESOURCES
**Comments:** as indicated below. Properties that are individually LPC designated or in LPC historic districts require permits from the LPC Preservation department. Properties that are S/NR listed or S/NR eligible require consultation with SHPO if there are State or Federal permits or funding required as part of the action.

---

This document only contains Archaeological review findings. If your request also requires Architecture review, the findings from that review will come in a separate document.

**Comments:** The LPC is in receipt of the, "Second Avenue Subway Phase 2 Soil Boring Program, New York, New York: Archaeological Monitoring and Human Remains Discoveries Plan," prepared by AKRF, Inc and dated April 28, 2017. The LPC concurs with the plan.

cc: NYSHPO

[Signature]

5/9/2017

Amanda Sutphin, Director of Archaeology

**File Name:** 5151_FSO_ALS_05092017.doc
View and/or Address a Response

Project 17PR02965: Second Avenue Subway Phase 2 (K810708271XG)

Please accept the following information below as the consolidated response from NYS SHPO for the above referenced submission.
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<th>Reviewer</th>
<th>Review Type</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
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<th>Status</th>
<th>Reviewer</th>
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<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No Request Records</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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</table>
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ARCHAEOLOGY

Project number: FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION / 106-M
Project: 0 SECOND AVE SUBWAY
Date received: 8/7/2017

Comments: as indicated below. Properties that are individually LPC designated or in LPC historic districts require permits from the LPC Preservation department. Properties that are S/NR listed or S/NR eligible require consultation with SHPO if there are State or Federal permits or funding required as part of the action.

This document only contains Archaeological review findings. If your request also requires Architecture review, the findings from that review will come in a separate document.

Comments: The LPC is receipt of a revised boring plan and test pit plan for work on Second Avenue between 106th and 116th Streets, and five geotechnical borings and one environmental boring within the zone of sensitivity for the Harlem African Burial Ground. We concur with the recommendations that there are no further concerns for the test pit locations and that an archaeologist will analyze the results of the borings and submit their findings to the reviewing agencies.

cc: NYSHPO

Amanda Sutphin, Director of Archaeology

File Name: 5151_FSO_ALS_08082017.doc
August 18, 2017

Ms. Jennifer Wuotinen
Program Manager, I
MTACC
2 Broadway
New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Wuotinen:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the submitted materials in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources.

SHPO concurs with the revised plan for geotechnical and environmental tests for this project (Wuotinen, 2 August 2017).

If you have any questions please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Perazio, Historic Preservation Program Analyst - Archaeology Unit
Phone: 518-268-2175
e-mail: philip.perazio@parks.ny.gov via e-mail only

cc: Elizabeth Meade, AKRF
Amanda Sutphin, LPC
December 12, 2017

Ms. Amanda Sutphin
New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission
1 Centre Street, 9th Floor
New York, NY 10007

Re: Second Avenue Subway Phase 2 Supplemental Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study; New York, NY (17PR0296)

Dear Ms. Sutphin:

As you are aware, with the recent opening of the first phase of the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) in Manhattan, New York, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) is advancing the second phase of the project (OPRHP project review number 17PR02965). MTA has retained AKRF for archaeological consulting services in association with the construction of the second phase of the Second Avenue Subway. A Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were issued for the full-length Second Avenue Subway (comprising four project phases) in 2004 by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). A Programmatic Agreement (PA) among the FTA, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority New York City Transit (MTA NYCT), and the New York State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), was executed on April 8, 2004 to describe the procedures that would be followed to document and protect cultural resources that could be impacted by the construction of the subway. The PA sets forth the steps to be followed in the event that new project elements were added to locations that were not analyzed in the 2004 FEIS and also included provisions for future archaeological analysis of locations of soils borings completed as part of the subway’s construction.

The overall SAS Phase 2 alignment remains largely consistent with the original preliminary engineering (PE) design that was analyzed in the 2003 Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study (and supplemental analyses) and the 2004 FEIS. Some changes have occurred to the SAS Phase 2 design because of changes in background conditions, efforts to minimize construction impacts, and advanced engineering. In some cases, sites previously identified for ancillary facilities and entrances are no longer suitable or available, requiring these facilities to be relocated. Pursuant to the terms of the PA, in November 2017, AKRF prepared a supplemental Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study of the SAS Phase 2 project site to analyze the potential archaeological sensitivity of all portions of the Area of Potential Effect (APE) that were not previously analyzed in the 2003 Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study. These supplemental study areas included the updated locations of station entrances and ancillary facilities. A draft of the Supplemental Phase 1A Study is enclosed for your review and comment.
The Supplemental Phase 1A Study determined that undisturbed portions of the APE could have precontact and historic archaeological sensitivity. In those areas that were identified as potentially sensitive, one of four types of additional archaeological analysis was recommended: the review of soil borings to determine the potential depths of archaeological resources; the review of final design drawings to determine if potentially sensitive depths would be impacted by the proposed project; topic intensive documentary research to determine the potential sensitivity for human remains; and Phase 1B subsurface testing. Any future archaeological analysis would be completed pursuant to the terms of the PA and in consultation with LPC.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter and we look forward to receiving your comments on the enclosed Supplemental Phase 1A Study. If you have any questions or if you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at emeade@akrf.com or by telephone at (646) 388-9811.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth D. Meade, RPA
Technical Director/Archaeologist

cc:
Nina Chung, FTA
Jennifer Wuotinen, MTACC
Linda Corcoran, MTACC
Steven Gates, AKRF
December 12, 2017

Mr. Philip Perazio
NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Division for Historic Preservation
Peebles Island, PO Box 189
Waterford, NY 12188

Re: Second Avenue Subway Phase 2 Supplemental Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study; New York, NY (17PR0296)

Dear Mr. Perazio:

As you are aware, with the recent opening of the first phase of the Second Avenue Subway (SAS) in Manhattan, New York, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) is advancing the second phase of the project (OPRHP project review number 17PR02965). MTA has retained AKRF for archaeological consulting services in association with the construction of the second phase of the Second Avenue Subway. A Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were issued for the full-length Second Avenue Subway (comprising four project phases) in 2004 by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). A Programmatic Agreement (PA) among the FTA, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority New York City Transit (MTA NYCT), and the New York State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), was executed on April 8, 2004 to describe the procedures that would be followed to document and protect cultural resources that could be impacted by the construction of the subway. The PA sets forth the steps to be followed in the event that new project elements were added to locations that were not analyzed in the 2004 FEIS and also included provisions for future archaeological analysis of locations of soils borings completed as part of the subway’s construction.

The overall SAS Phase 2 alignment remains largely consistent with the original preliminary engineering (PE) design that was analyzed in the 2003 Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study (and supplemental analyses) and the 2004 FEIS. Some changes have occurred to the SAS Phase 2 design because of changes in background conditions, efforts to minimize construction impacts, and advanced engineering. In some cases, sites previously identified for ancillary facilities and entrances are no longer suitable or available, requiring these facilities to be relocated. Pursuant to the terms of the PA, in November 2017, AKRF prepared a supplemental Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study of the SAS Phase 2 project site to analyze the potential archaeological sensitivity of all portions of the Area of Potential Effect (APE) that were not previously analyzed in the 2003 Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study. These supplemental study areas included the updated locations of station entrances and ancillary facilities. A draft of the Supplemental Phase 1A Study will be submitted to OPRHP via the New York State Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) for your review and comment.
The Supplemental Phase 1A Study determined that undisturbed portions of the APE could have precontact and historic archaeological sensitivity. In those areas that were identified as potentially sensitive, one of four types of additional archaeological analysis was recommended: the review of soil borings to determine the potential depths of archaeological resources; the review of final design drawings to determine if potentially sensitive depths would be impacted by the proposed project; topic intensive documentary research to determine the potential sensitivity for human remains; and Phase 1B subsurface testing. Any future archaeological analysis would be completed pursuant to the terms of the PA and in consultation with OPRHP.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter and we look forward to receiving your comments on the enclosed Supplemental Phase 1A Study. If you have any questions or if you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at emeade@akrf.com or by telephone at (646) 388-9811.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth D. Meade, RPA
Technical Director/Archaeologist

cc:
Nina Chung, FTA
Jennifer Wuotinen, MTACC
Linda Corcoran, MTACC
Steven Gates, AKRF
ARCHAEOLOGY

Final Sign-Off (Multiple Sites)

Project number: FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION / 106-M
Project: 0 SECOND AVE SUBWAY
Date received: 12/14/2017

Comments: as indicated below. Properties that are individually LPC designated or in LPC historic districts require permits from the LPC Preservation department. Properties that are S/NR listed or S/NR eligible require consultation with SHPO if there are State or Federal permits or funding required as part of the action.

This document only contains Archaeological review findings. If your request also requires Architecture review, the findings from that review will come in a separate document.

Comments:

The LPC is in receipt of the, "Second Avenue Subway: Phase Two: Second Ave Between East 104th and East 125th St and East 125th St between Second Ave and Adam Clayton Powell, Jr Blvd," prepared by AKRF, Inc and dated November 2017.

The LPC concurs with the findings of this report. Please submit a pdf of the report to the LPC for our archives.

Cc: NYSHPO

Amanda Sutphin, Director of Archaeology

File Name: 5151_FSO_ALS_12202017.doc
December 27, 2017

Ms. Jennifer Wuotinen  
Program Manager, I  
MTACC  
2 Broadway  
New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA  
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2  
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY  
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Wuotinen:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the submitted materials in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources.

SHPO has reviewed the following submission for this project – Second Avenue Subway: Phase Two, Second Avenue Between East 104th and East 125th Streets and East 125th Street Between Second Avenue and Adam Clayton Powell, Jr. Boulevard, New York, New York: Supplemental Phase 1A Archaeological Documentary Study (AKRF, November 2017). Based on the information provided, we concur with the report’s conclusions and recommendations. Please continue consultation with this office as the investigation proceeds.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Perazio, Historic Preservation Program Analyst - Archaeology Unit  
Phone: 518-268-2175  
e-mail: philip.perazio@parks.ny.gov via e-mail only

cc: Elizabeth Meade, AKRF  
Amanda Sutphin, LPC
February 20, 2018

Beth A. Cumming
Senior Historic Site Restoration Coordinator
New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Division for Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 189
Waterford, NY 12188-0189

Re: Second Avenue Subway Phase 2—Assessment of Effects to Historic Resources
   New York County: 17PR02965 (01PR039201/05PR00975)

Dear Ms. Cumming:

Following the recent opening of Phase 1 of the Second Avenue Subway Project (the “Project”) in Manhattan, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (MTA) is now advancing Phase 2 of the Project. A Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were issued for the full-length Second Avenue Subway in 2004 by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The FEIS included a Programmatic Agreement (PA)1 among FTA, MTA2, and the New York State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), executed on April 8, 2004 pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), to describe the procedures that would be followed to document and protect cultural resources that could be adversely affected by the construction of the subway. The New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC) is a consulting party to the PA. Exhibit E of the PA was amended in May 2012 with respect to vibration limit criteria and reporting.3

The full-length Project would extend about 8.5 miles along Manhattan’s east side, but given its total capital cost, it is to be constructed in four phases. Phase 1, now in revenue service, included extension of the Broadway (Q) subway line along Second Avenue from 63rd Street to 105th Street, with new stations at 72nd, 86th, and 96th Streets. Phase 2 would continue the Q service farther north to 125th Street, with new stations at 106th, 116th, and 125th Streets. The tracks, which would curve west along 125th Street, would terminate near Lenox Avenue.

1 http://web.mta.info/capital/sas_docs/feis/programagreement.pdf
2 New York City Transit (NYCT) was the official signatory of the Programmatic Agreement. The MTA Capital Construction Company (MTACC) is responsible for planning, design, and construction of the project and related public outreach, and New York City Transit (NYCT) will operate and maintain the service, but for purposes of this document, they are collectively referred herein as “MTA.”
3 http://web.mta.info/capital/sas_pdf/2012May23AmendmentNo1SASPA.pdf
To facilitate continued coordination with SHPO in accordance with the 2004 PA, the attached document is provided for your review, which presents an overview of design modifications of Phase 2 and provides an assessment of potential changes to adverse effects on historic resources as a result of those design modifications in accordance with Section 106 of the NHPA. MTA is also conducting a re-evaluation of potential impacts pursuant to NEPA in collaboration with FTA to comply with stipulations of the 2004 ROD and to evaluate design modifications.

The assessment of potential effects in the attachment first discusses potential changes in construction (short-term) effects, followed by potential changes in operational (long-term) effects. As demonstrated in the attached, no new adverse effects to historic resources have been identified. Further, an adverse effect identified in the 2004 FEIS has been eliminated as a result of design modifications. Please note that this attachment addresses historic architectural resources—an assessment of archaeological resources has been submitted under separate cover.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter and we look forward to receiving your comments on the attached assessment. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at (646) 388-9745 or ccooney@akrf.com.

Sincerely,
AKRF, Inc.

Claudia Cooney
Vice President

cc:
Nina Chung, FTA
Gina Santucci, New York City Landmarks Preservation Committee (LPC)
Jennifer Wuotinen, MTACC
Linda Corcoran, MTACC
Steven Gates, AKRF
ATTACHMENT
OVERVIEW OF SECOND AVENUE SUBWAY PHASE 2 DESIGN MODIFICATIONS
AND
EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL CHANGES IN ADVERSE EFFECTS TO HISTORIC
ARCHITECTURAL RESOURCES

[ATTACHMENT NOT INCLUDED IN EA]
March 22, 2018

Ms. Jennifer Wuotinen
Program Manager, I
MTACC
2 Broadway
New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Wuotinen:

Thank you for continuing to consult with the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the provided documentation in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include other environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project.

We have reviewed the technical memorandum dated February 20th, 2018 summarizing the modified project design and resulting changes in anticipated effects to historic resources. We concur with the memorandum’s conclusions and recommendations. We note that Survey staff has requested more information regarding historic resources and will provide comments on the survey of known and newly identified historic resources.

If additional information correspondence is required regarding this project it should be provided via our Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) at www.nysparks.com/shpo/online-tools/. Once on the CRIS site, you can log in as a guest and choose "submit" at the very top menu. Next choose "submit new information for an existing project". You will need this project number and your e-mail address. If you have any questions, I can be reached at (518) 268-2182.

Sincerely,

Olivia Brazee
Historic Site Restoration Coordinator
olivia.brazee@parks.ny.gov

cc: Amanda Sutphin, LPC
    Elizabeth Meade, AKRF
    Erica Mollon, AKRF

via e-mail only
ARCHAEOLOGY

Project number: FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION / 106-M
Project: 0 SECOND AVE SUBWAY
Date received: 3/22/2018

Comments: as indicated below. Properties that are individually LPC designated or in LPC historic districts require permits from the LPC Preservation department. Properties that are S/NR listed or S/NR eligible require consultation with SHPO if there are State or Federal permits or funding required as part of the action.

This document only contains Archaeological review findings. If your request also requires Architecture review, the findings from that review will come in a separate document.

Comments: The LPC is in receipt of the letter dated March 22, 2018 which details three new test pits: (1) within the streetbed of Park Ave south of E 125th, (2) within the streetbed of E 125th St west of Malcolm X Blvd, and (3) in Lexington between E 125th and E 126th, as prepared by AKRF. The LPC concurs that given the location and that they will not extend below 5’ the test pits do not need to be archaeologically tested or monitored. However, please consult with LPC if the test pits are moved.

Cc: NYSHPO

3/23/2018

SIGNATURE
Amanda Sutphin, Director of Archaeology

DATE

File Name: 5151_FSO_ALS_03232018.doc
April 03, 2018

Ms. Jennifer Wuotinen  
Program Manager, I  
MTACC  
2 Broadway  
New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA  
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2  
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY  
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Wuotinen:

Thank you for requesting the comments of the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the submitted materials in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources.

SHPO has reviewed Review of Archaeological Resources in the Locations of Additional Test Pits for Phase 2 of the Second Avenue Subway Project (Wuotinen, 22 March 2018). Based on the information provided, we have no concerns regarding the proposed test pit locations. Please continue consultation as the project proceeds.

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Philip A. Perazio, Historic Preservation Program Analyst - Archaeology Unit  
Phone: 518-268-2175  
e-mail: philip.perazio@parks.ny.gov  
via e-mail only

cc: Elizabeth Meade and Erica Mollon, AKRF  
Amanda Sutphin, LPC
April 11, 2018

Ms. Jennifer Wuotinen
Program Manager, I
MTACC
2 Broadway
New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Wuotinen:

Thank you for continuing to consult with the New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the provided documentation in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include other environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project.

We have reviewed the additional information recently provided to our office. Our office has determined that the St. Ann’s Roman Catholic Church Complex is eligible for listing in the State and National Registers of Historic Places. Please see attached, the Resource Evaluation for the property. Based upon our review, OPRHP recommends that a Construction Protection Plan be implemented for any historic resources located within 90 feet of proposed construction activities.

If additional information correspondence is required regarding this project it should be provided via our Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) at www.nysparks.com/shpo/online-tools/. Once on the CRIS site, you can log in as a guest and choose "submit" at the very top menu. Next choose "submit new information for an existing project". You will need this project number and your e-mail address. If you have any questions, I can be reached at (518) 268-2182.

Sincerely,

Olivia Brazee
Historic Site Restoration Coordinator
olivia.brazee@parks.ny.gov via e-mail only

cc: Amanda Sutphin, LPC
Elizabeth Meade, AKRF
St. Ann’s Roman Catholic Church Complex

Located on East 109th and East 110th Streets in Manhattan, the St. Ann’s Roman Catholic Church Complex is significant under Criterion C in the area of architecture and has a period of significance from 1911 to 1968, the fifty year mark, as it continues to be an active religious facility. The complex consists of the church and rectory constructed in 1911 and designed by Nicholas Serracino, a school built between 1924 and 1926 and designed by architect Edward F. Fanning and a convent, located on East 109th Street, built in 1955 and designed by George J. Sole. The architect of the church and rectory, Serracino, designed many other religious buildings during the first two decades of the twentieth century, particularly for the Catholic Church. Located on the south side of 110th Street, Saint Ann’s Church is a Neoclassical-style church building that displays Italian Renaissance Revival elements. It is constructed of brick with stone or cast stone detailing. The three-bay façade features engaged Corinthian pilasters at each bay division with stone plinths and capitals, and a full entablature surmounted by a pediment topped with a cross, which forms a roof parapet, in the central bay. The central bay accommodates a large central entry at ground story level with projecting molded lintels supported by modillion brackets. Slightly smaller entries with molded lintels occupy the flanking bays. A central rose window with tracery is located above the central entry. A narrow additional bay to the east of the main section of the façade gives the appearance of a side tower. This feature is capped with a pediment bearing a cross finial. It contains a small round window at ground-story level and a round-arched aperture at eaves level. The associated Rectory occupies the building that adjoins the church to the east. This three-story brick Neoclassical building also has a flat roof and stone or cast stone trim, including window lintels with pronounced keystones and a pediment above the main entryway, which occupies the eastern bay at ground-story level. The windows appear to have been retrofitted with modern one-over-one-light sash and the cornice appears to have been altered. The Neoclassical-style St. Ann’s School is five stories in height and has a three-bay façade. It is faced in light-colored brick with stone or cast stone trim. The symmetrically fenestrated building is restrained and methodical in design. It features paired windows on each of the four upper stories. Wide stone spandrel panels occupy the spaces between the second and third story windows and the third and fourth story windows. The verticality of the brickwork between each bay of the building evokes piers or pilasters. The building has a cornice with a wide frieze inscribed with “ST. ANN’S PARISH SCHOOL.” The ground story is faced in rusticated stone and features a central doorway with a Neoclassical surround flanked by smaller entries without elaborate surrounds. The St. Ann’s Convent, which faces East 110th street, is a mid-century modern building constructed of brick with stone details. The building is three stories in height with a raised basement. The front façade is nine bays wide. It displays regular fenestration and symmetrical design with the notable exception of two bays, off center, set within a rectangular stone-faced section that projects slightly forward from the façade and projects slightly higher than the eaves line of the building’s flat roof. The main entryway is located centrally within this stone-faced section and is flanked by sidelights. A large cross is affixed to the upper portion of the projecting section. The door that occupies the main entry appears original as do the window sash throughout the building.
Hi Gina,

Please see the attached historic architectural resources submission for Phase 2 of the Second Avenue Subway, which is being provided to your office for review as per the project's Section 106 Programmatic Agreement. This includes a letter and technical memorandum submitted to SHPO, along with information regarding potential architectural resources identified. SHPO has provided comments on the technical memorandum and also made determinations of eligibility for the potential architectural resources. These letters are also attached.

Please let me know if you require further information to review.
Thank you.

--
Claudia Cooney
Vice President
AKRF, Inc.
440 Park Avenue South
New York, NY 10016
646-388-9745
ccooney@akrf.com
www.akrf.com

4 attachments
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Project number: FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION / 106-M
Project: 0 SECOND AVE SUBWAY
Date received: 4/16/2018

Properties with Architectural significance:

27) ADDRESS: 120 EAST 124 STREET, BBL: 1017720062, LPC FINDINGS: NO INTEREST, STATE/NATIONAL REGISTER FINDINGS: ELIGIBLE FOR NATIONAL REGISTER LIST, COMMENTS: STUDY AREA ONLY
28) ADDRESS: 116 EAST 124 STREET, BBL: 1017720064, LPC FINDINGS: NO INTEREST, STATE/NATIONAL REGISTER FINDINGS: ELIGIBLE FOR NATIONAL REGISTER LIST, COMMENTS: STUDY AREA ONLY.
29) ADDRESS: 312 EAST 110 STREET, BBL: 1016810045, LPC FINDINGS: NO INTEREST, STATE/NATIONAL REGISTER FINDINGS: ELIGIBLE FOR NATIONAL REGISTER LIST, COMMENTS: ST. ANN'S RC COMPLEX. STUDY AREA ONLY.

Comments: The LPC is in receipt of the historic resources submission for Phase 2 of the Second Ave. Subway. LPC concurs with the SHPO finding that a Construction Protection Plan be implemented for any historic resources located within 90 feet of proposed construction activities.

cc: SHPO

Signed: Gina Santucci, Environmental Review Coordinator

5/3/2018

File Name: 5151_FSO_GS_05032018.doc
May 16, 2018

Ms. Olivia Brazee
Historic Site Restoration Coordinator
New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation
Division for Historic Preservation
P.O. Box 189
Waterford, NY 12188-0189

Re: Second Avenue Subway Phase 2—Historic Resources
New York County: SHPO PR NO: 17PR02965 (01PR039201/05PR00975)

Dear Ms. Brazee:

Thank you for your letter of March 22, 2018 concurring with the conclusions and recommendations contained in the Historic Resources Technical Memorandum provided for your review on February 20, 2018, and for providing a determination of National Register eligibility for the St. Ann’s Roman Catholic Church complex, located at 312 East 110th Street, in your letter of April 11, 2018.

We are providing information regarding one additional potential architectural resource that has been identified in the APE; this resource was identified as a potential architectural resource in the Second Avenue Subway 2004 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS).

This potential resource is a tenement building located at 306 East 119th Street in Manhattan (Block 1795, Lot 50). It is a five-story brick and stone building constructed in 1889-1890. This building was one of five brick and stone flats, measuring 20 feet by 85 feet, that were built at 306-314 East 119th Street for Jacob Doll, and which were designed by E. W. Greis. The three-bay wide building has a rusticated first floor with a raised-stoop entry with a round-arched surround. The second through fourth floors have simple brownstone lintels, belt courses, and quoins. The windows on the fifth floor have flat-arched lintels. The building is capped with a projecting metal cornice. The four buildings that were built east of and at the same time as this structure have since been demolished.

The proposed Second Avenue Subway entrance on the east side of Second Avenue between East 119th Street and East 118th Street is located within 90 feet of the potential architectural resource located at 306 East 119th Street. As stated in the 2004 FEIS and the Programmatic Agreement (PA), architectural resources that could be affected by construction activities would require the preparation of a Construction Protection Plan (CPP) prior to construction, demolition, or excavation work. The CPP would set forth the specific measures to be used, and specifications that would be applied, to protect each of the historic resources that could be affected during the construction period. Typical protective measures that will be included in a CPP are presented in Exhibit E of the project’s PA. Should this potential architectural resource be determined National Register-eligible or New York City Landmark-eligible, it would be included and
protected in the CPP. Therefore, we are seeking your determination of this property’s eligibility for listing on the National Register.

In addition, please provide us with a letter of National Register eligibility for the properties listed below. Information regarding these properties was provided via CRIS on February 20, 2018 at the time of submission of the Historic Resources Technical Memorandum. These properties appear as National Register eligible in CRIS (March 15, 2018 determinations) but we have not received a letter to that effect.

- 1969-1961 Madison Avenue (Five four-story rowhouses): Block 1750/ Lots 50, 52, 53
- 1825 Park Avenue (former Hamilton Storage and Warehouse Co.): Block 1774/ Lot 1
- 23-25 East 124th Street (Cambridge and Oxford Flats): Block 1749/ Lots 14, 16
- 116-120 East 124th Street (Loft building): Block 1772/ Lots 62, 64
- 2118 Second Avenue (former bank): Block 1680/ Lot 49

Thank you very much for your attention to this matter. Please let me know if you have any questions at 646-388-9745.

Sincerely,

AKRF, Inc.

Claudia Cooney
Vice President

cc:
Nina Chung, FTA
Gina Santucci, New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission (LPC)
Jennifer Wuotinen, MTACC
Linda Corcoran, MTACC
Steven Gates, AKRF
ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Project number: FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION / 106-M
Project: 0 SECOND AVE SUBWAY
Date received: 5/16/2018

Properties with no Architectural significance:
164) ADDRESS: 309 EAST 119 STREET, BBL: 1017960106

Gina Santucci, Environmental Review Coordinator

File Name: 5151_FSO_GS_05252018.doc
June 14, 2018

Jennifer Woutinen
Program Manager, I
MTACC
2 Broadway, New York, NY 10004

Re: FTA
Second Avenue Subway Phase 2
Borough of Manhattan, New York County, NY
17PR02965

Dear Ms. Woutinen:

Thank you for continuing to consult with New York State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO). We have reviewed the provided documentation in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966. These comments are those of the SHPO and relate only to Historic/Cultural resources. They do not include other environmental impacts to New York State Parkland that may be involved in or near your project.

We reviewed the additional information recently provided to our office. Our office has determined that the building located at 306 East 119th Street is not eligible for listing on the State and National Registers of Historic Places.

The following properties were determined eligible for the State and National Registers:
- 1969-1961 Madison Avenue (Five four-story rowhouses): Block 1750/ Lots 50, 52, 53
- 1825 Park Avenue (former Hamilton Storage and Warehouse Co.): Block 1774/ Lot 1
- 23-25 East 124th Street (Cambridge and Oxford Flats): Block 1749/ Lots 14, 16
- 116-120 East 124th Street (Loft building): Block 1772/ Lots 62, 64
- 2118 Second Avenue (former bank): Block 1680/ Lot 49

If additional correspondence is required regarding this project, it should be provided via our Cultural Resource Information System (CRIS) at https://parks.ny.gov/shpo/online-tools/. Once on the CRIS site, you can log in as a guest and choose “Submit” in the top menu. Next choose “submit new information for an existing project.” You will need this project number and your email address. If you have any questions, I can be reached at 518-268-2148 or linda.mackey@parks.ny.gov

Sincerely,

Linda Mackey
Historic Preservation Specialist

cc: Amanda Sutphin, LPC
    Elizabeth Meade, AKRF